

## Evaluation criteria

Category	What Editors Look For
Clarity and Structure	Is the argument coherent, well-organized, and understandable? Is the paper arranged in appropriate sections with logical transitions?
Argument or Insight	Is the central claim or question original, clearly stated, thoughtfully developed, and sufficiently narrow?
Soundness of Evidence	Are sources credible, well-integrated, and cited properly?
Scope	Does the piece fit within the aims and scope of the journal?
Language and Grammar	Is the language chosen clear, accessible, and neutral? Is the paper free from grammatical errors?
Formatting	Does the paper follow the formatting guidelines and reflect the submission template?
Ethical Standards	Is the content respectful, original, and free of plagiarism or misinformation? **Any research paper involving human subjects should include a signed release of information by each person involved attached with the original submission.**

\*Adherence to each section of the rubric on a 1-4 scale (1: not present, 2: needs improvement, 3: satisfactory, 4: outstanding)